(3)

समक्ष, श्रीमान् मध्यप्रदेश प्रशासनिक अधिकरण - खंडपीठ, रायपुर

WP(S) 5015/05

याचिका क्रमांक . . . . . सन् 2002

आवेदक -- हीरामन सिंह कश्यप, गजाधर सिंह कश्यप
सहायक शिक्षक, शा. प्राथमिक शाला मगरकुंड
विकासखण्ड छुईखदान, जिला राजनांदगांव

विरूद्ध

अनावेदक — 1. छत्तीसगढ़ शासन,
द्वारा - सचिव, शालेय शिक्षा विभाग,
सचिवालय - छत्तीसगढ़ शासन, रायपुर
2. कमिश्नर,
शालेय शिक्षा विभाग
छत्तीसगढ़ शासन,
रायपुर
3. जिला शिक्षा अधिकारी, राजनांदगांव
4. विकासखंड शिक्षा अधिकारी, छुईखदान

धारा 19 प्रशासनिक अधिकरण अधिनियम 1985 के अंतर्गत याचिका

आवेदक/याचिकाकर्ता नीचे लिखे अनुसार प्रार्थना करता है -

§1§ आवेदक का विवरण -

आवेदक का नाम, पता तथा उसका विवरण, आवेदन पत्र के शीर्षक में ऊपर लिखे अनुसार है, आवेदक हीरामन सिंह कश्यप पिता गजाधर सिंह कश्यप, शासन के आदेश क्रमांक स्था. 2/86/तदर्थ उप. शि./कोर्ट/86/3245 कवर्धा दिनांक 1-9-86 अनुसार, सहायक शिक्षक के पद पर चयन किया गया था, तथा प्राथमिक शाला भांजीडोंगरी गंडई परिक्षेत्र में सहायक शिक्षक के पद पर नियुक्ति किया गया था । आवेदक वर्तमान में प्राथमिक शाला मगरकुंड में सहायक शिक्षक के पद पर कार्यरत है ।

§2§ अनावेदकोंका विवरण -

आवेदन पत्र के शीर्षक में ऊपर लिखे अनुसार है ।

(21)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.322 OF 2007

Petitioner : Raj Kapoor Kesharwani

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.335 OF 2007

Petitioner : Devi Singh Dhruv

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.342 OF 2007

Petitioner : Sanat Kumar Chandrakar

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.343 OF 2007

Petitioners : Smt. Ganga Gilahre and others

Versus

Respondents : State of C.G. and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.346 OF 2007

Petitioners	:	Gokul Ram Sahu and another
	versus	
Respondents	:	State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.352 OF 2007

Petitioner	:	Smt. M.B. Banjare
	versus	
Respondents	:	State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.353 OF 2007

Petitioner	:	Yad Ram Banjare
	versus	
Respondents	:	State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.3312 OF 2005

Petitioner	:	Nagendra Kumar Acharya
	versus	
Respondents	:	State of Madhya Pradesh (now Chhattisgarh) and others

23

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.4685 OF 2005

Petitioner : Ishwar Lal Kunjam

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.5075 OF 2005

Petitioner : Heeraman Singh Kashyap

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Deepak Jain, Mr. Vivek Verma, Mrs. Hamida Siddique, Mr. Mahfooj Alam, Mr. Rakesh Anthony, Mr. Somkant Verma and Mr. Maneesh Sharma, counsel for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate, Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocate and Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 22nd Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the parties jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** **vs.** ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1] .

24

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma* vs. *The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma* vs. *The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) (Supra).

3. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I./D.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

4. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Gopal